Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group: A Developmental Model for the Defining and Measuring Competence in Professional Psychology

February 2007

This document presents the efforts of the Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group to date. The document will undergo review and public comment by American Psychological Association governance structures and external communities of interest in 2007. Therefore, what is presented here is subject to revision. Further, this document does not represent policy of the American Psychological Association.

Background

The movement to define and measure student learning outcomes in terms of the competencies acquired has gained momentum in professional psychology over the last two decades. The most recent step in that movement was the formation of the Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group. This group met for two days in September 2006 to identify levels of competence appropriate for different stages of professional education and training in psychology. The model that was developed identifies benchmarks for twelve core competency areas at four developmental levels of education and training. Also identified by the Work Group were possible methods for assessing acquisition of the benchmark competencies.

The Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group built on a series of competency assessment initiatives that have occurred over the last two decades within professional psychology. One of the first widely acknowledged models to articulate competencies in professional education and training programs was developed by the National Council of Schools and Programs of Professional Psychology (NCSPP) in 1986 (Peterson, Peterson, Abrams, & Stricker, 1997). The NCSPP model identified and defined six core competency areas relevant to training for the practice of professional psychology. Under this model, program curricula were designed to develop competencies based on foundations of scientific and practical knowledge and skills, as well as attitudes, values, and ethical behaviors appropriate for the practice of psychology. Building on this development, in 1996 the American Psychological Association's (APA) Committee on Accreditation (CoA) revised its Guidelines and Principles for Accreditation (Committee on Accreditation, 1996) to require programs to demonstrate student learning outcomes in terms of competence in broad and general domains of psychology. Student learning outcomes were also expected to be consistent with the program's training philosophy and goals.

The next major step in the competency movement was a conference designed to build consensus about the core competencies expected of graduates of professional education and training programs in psychology. The Association of Psychology Postdoctoral and Internship Centers (APPIC) with co-sponsorship of the APA and others (Kaslow 2004) sponsored this conference in 2002 with representation from diverse education, practice, and regulatory constituent groups. The purpose was to further clarify issues related to the identification, education and training, and assessment of competencies within professional psychology. The outcome of the conference included publications on each competency area (Kaslow et al., 2004). Another critical outcome was a generally accepted model of the core competency areas in psychology that was later expanded and codified in a three-dimensional (cube) model (Rodolfa, Bent, Eisman, Nelson, Rehm, and Ritchie, 2005). This model

conceptualized the development of competencies from the earliest stages of professional education and training through advanced and lifelong learning stages.

The initiatives of the competencies movement were a continuing agenda item for the Council of Chairs of Training Councils (CCTC), a group comprised of the chairs of the major education and training councils in the United States and Canada. Perhaps one of the most visible outcomes of their efforts is the Practicum Competencies Outline which expands and enhances work done by the Association of Directors of Psychology Training Clinics (Hatcher & Lassiter, 2006). The Practicum Competencies Outline defines competencies for practicum training, operationalizes the component knowledge, skills, attitudes, and even meta-knowledge concepts expected by the end of practicum training. Likewise, the model presents behavioral anchors for assessing the development of these competencies from early to advanced stages of practicum training. In so doing, it builds on the "cube" model conceptualized at the 2002 national conference.

Also building on the 2002 conference, the APA Board of Educational Affairs convened a task force in 2003 to move beyond merely defining competencies to measuring those competencies. The task force produced a comprehensive report on needs for competency assessment, different models of competency assessment, including those now used in other professions, and challenges in competency assessment (APA, 2006). Further, the task force made a series of recommendations and developed guiding principles for the assessment of competence.

Collectively, these developments provide evidence of what has been referred to as a shift to a "culture of competence" (Roberts, Borden, Christiansen & Lopez, 2005) in professional psychology, albeit the early stages of such a shift when compared with professions such as medicine. Through the Association of American Medical Colleges' (AAMC) Medical School Objectives Project, medical education is focused on the development of learning objectives that reflect foundational knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values that medical students must demonstrate upon completion of medical school (AAMC, 1998). The urgency of shifting to a culture of competence assessment in psychology was heightened also in 2006 by APA adoption of the following policy guidance related to licensure eligibility in psychology:

Applicants should be considered for admission to licensure upon completing a "sequential, organized, supervised professional experience equivalent to two years of full-time training that can be completed prior or subsequent to the granting of the doctoral degree." One of the two years was to be a predoctoral internship for those preparing for practice as health service providers.

This policy resulted from recommendations of the 2000 APA Commission on Education and Training Leading to Licensure (APA, 2001), and related work of an APA Board of Directors Sponsored task force convened in 2005 (APA, 2005) to follow up on the recommendations of the initial commission. Embedded in both reports were a series of recommendations related to education and statutory/regulatory issues. One of the education recommendations noted the need to define the competencies expected of students in professional education and training programs and to specifically address these in a developmental approach.

The collective efforts to advance a competency-based approach to professional psychology education and training outcomes is also driven by the fact that, while for many years the doctoral degree has been linked with the construct of "entry-level to practice," the latter has been poorly defined at best in terms of the level of competence and nature of competencies expected. Currently, entry-level to practice is defined by documentation of completion of required coursework, including requisite number of hours of supervised training. The correlation between these measures and actual competence as a professional psychologist is unknown, and thus arguably this is a poor proxy for actual evaluation of competence. In addition, external groups such as the U.S. Department of Education, regional accrediting bodies, and regulatory bodies, are discussing incorporating rules and regulations that would measure education and training outcomes in terms of specific competencies that students acquire.

These developments led CCTC at its November 2005 meeting to recommend to the APA Board of Educational Affairs funding for a work group to develop a set of organized and sequential competency benchmarks. CCTC recommended the work group focus on competencies that extend through the sequence of doctoral education and training and identify possible methods of assessing attainment of these benchmarks. Two major determinants for their recommendations were the readiness of the field to have such a conversation and the understanding that it is each profession's responsibility to define such education and training outcomes, not other agencies such as those of the government.

Key Definitions

Although various definitions of key terms exist, for the purposes of this document the following definitions of key terms were used by the members of the Competencies Assessment Benchmarks Work Group.

Competence

Competence has been defined by Epstein and Hundert (2002) as the, "habitual and judicious use of communication, knowledge, technical skills, clinical reasoning, emotions, values, and reflection in daily practice for the benefit of the individual and community being served." There are foundational elements required for competence which include knowledge and skills as well as elements best conceptualized as professionalism (e.g. reflective thinking). Competence also presumes integration of multiple competencies. In turn, competencies are conceptualized as elements or components of competence, that is, discrete knowledge, skills, and attitudes (Kaslow et al., 2004).

Foundation and Functional Competencies

A distinction is also made between foundational and functional competencies (Rodolfa, et. al, 2005). Foundational competencies refer to the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values that serve as the foundation for the functions a psychologist is expected to carry out, (*e.g.*, an understanding of ethics, awareness and understanding of individual and cultural diversity issues, knowledge of the scientific foundations of psychology). Functional competencies encompass the major functions that a psychologist is expected to carry out, each of which requires reflective integration of foundational competencies in problem identification and resolution, (*e.g.*, assessment, intervention, consultation, research).

Benchmark

A benchmark refers to a standard for measurement of performance that can be used for comparison and to identify where needs for improvement exist.

Assessment

Assessment of competence to evaluate student learning outcomes is contextualized within the constructs of formative and summative assessment (Rodolfa et. al, 2005). Formative assessment provides an individual ongoing feedback meant to enhance performance and implying a developmental progression in the acquisition of skills. Summative assessment, in contrast, measures performance at the end point of a process.

Core Competencies for Professional Psychology

The Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group utilized the "Cube" model of core competencies in professional psychology (Rodolfa et al., 2005). The Cube model, which is summarized below, proposes twelve core competencies that are conceptualized as either foundational or functional competencies:

Core Competency Domains of the Cube Model

Foundational Competencies

Reflective practice-self-assessment Scientific knowledge-methods Relationships Ethical-legal standards-policy Individual-cultural diversity. Interdisciplinary systems

Functional Competencies

Assessment-diagnosis-case conceptualization Intervention Consultation. Research/evaluation Supervision-teaching Management-administration

Further, the cube model posits that acquisition of these core competencies is a developmental process consistent with the focus of the Benchmarks Work Group task. While other models (e.g. Hatcher and Lassiter, 2006) might have been adapted for the task of the Benchmarks, the cube model was deemed to be the best fit in that it extends beyond practicum training to the internship, advanced postdoctoral training, and lifelong learning.

Formation of the Work Group

In November 2005 BEA voted to accept the recommendation of CCTC to create what eventually became the Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group. A chair was appointed and a ten member planning group was constituted. The planning group conducted the majority of their work through conference calls and email communications. The planning group tackled several challenging decisions regarding the goals, size, and structure of the meeting.

One of the first steps for the group was to clarify the goals and expected outcomes for the meeting. Broadly, the goals were to continue to advance developments in competency based models of assessing learning outcomes in professional psychology education and training. More specifically, the work group would be charged with articulating benchmarks for each of the twelve core competencies from the cube model at different stages of professional education and training, as well as to address assessment methods. The product of the work group meeting would be a document that reflected this content.

One of the more challenging decisions was determining the size of the work group. The planning group felt strongly that a commitment to inclusion should be communicated to communities of interest in professional psychology training. At the same time, the group struggled with the realization that, the larger the group, the more difficult it might be to accomplish the goals for the meeting. Ultimately, in consultation with CCTC, a decision was made to limit the size of the work group with understanding that the work of the group would be widely disseminated for discussion following the actual meeting.

Given the charge to use a developmental approach the work group agreed to address four levels of professional development: readiness for practicum, readiness for internship, readiness for entry-level to practice, and readiness for advanced training. To maximize use of time it was decided that the majority of the work of the meeting would be organized around four breakout groups, each reflecting one of these levels of training. Therefore, it was decided that there would be a limit of eight people per group to keep the size manageable for a total of thirty-two members in the work group.

Identification and Preparation of Work Group Members

Considerable discussion went into the process of selecting work group members. While the meeting had a potentially large constituent base, planning group members were mindful of the challenges that can occur when individuals represent the interests of a particular group. Therefore, the planning group decided to

identify potential work group members based on expertise rather than representing a community of interest. Specifically people were included who were knowledgeable about competence and competency domains in professional psychology. Further, student and early career representatives were identified as those groups would be directly influenced by this work product. Selection was done through a consensus process among the planning group members. First the critical domains that should be represented were identified. For example, individuals familiar with each of the different levels of education and training identified as a focus for the meeting and individuals knowledgeable about assessing competence. Then each planning group member independently listed individuals that they felt had expertise for each of the areas identified. These lists were then compiled and individuals identified most often were invited to attend, with attention to ensuring representation of at least one person from each of the identified areas of competency expertise. In a number of cases individuals selected had expertise in more than one area. Using this process the remaining 21 work group participants were identified. A roster of work group members can be found in Appendix A of this document.

Funds to cover a significant portion of the costs for the meeting were provided by the APA. These were supplemented by a number of organizations with a particular interest in the work group's task.

Work group members were provided pre-conference readings that were selected as representing the more seminal publications in the area of competency. These included the manuscripts from the 2002 APPIC Competencies Conference, as well as readings on models developed in medicine and in the undergraduate psychology curriculum, and the assessment of competence. These readings as well as a number of other documents relevant to the task were also available to work group members at the meeting.

Work group members were notified approximately one month before the meeting of which break out group they had been assigned to. This was accompanied by a request that members begin to think about the twelve core competencies and what would be the more critical benchmarks at the developmental level they would be addressing.

Guiding Principles of the Meeting

In developing the structure of the meeting and to reach the established goals the planning group discussed and agreed on several guiding principles. First, the focus of the meeting, while broad, was not intended to address the full developmental continuum for learning in professional psychology. Specifically, it was acknowledged that there are competencies necessary for entry to graduate school as well as competencies that reflect a

lifelong commitment to learning. The group was not able to address these two levels but recognized that they are important as well.

Second, the choice to work with the cube model proposing core competencies was done with recognition that there might be a better way to define core competencies. This decision was based on a realization that the group could easily spend all of its time trying to develop consensus on what competencies to address and not have time to complete its more central purpose of defining benchmarks. The cube model is widely cited and recognized as credible at this point in the evolution of competency based education and training. While the cube model proposes an interweaving of foundational and functional competencies the group chose not to address these intersections at present but felt this task might be taken up by another group in the future.

Third, although the cube model proposes to apply to professional psychology, the Benchmarks document applies more directly to those preparing to practice as health service providers. Other models of training may be informed by elements of the document, but may not find the entire document relevant to their models of training.

Finally, the work of the group was not intended to be prescriptive. While the group believes, and is hopeful, the Benchmarks document will advance discussions and implementation of competency based approaches to measuring student learning outcomes the intent is to describe a way to this as opposed to prescribing what programs need to do.

Structure of the Meeting

The Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group met for two full days. The meeting began with an overview and a formal charge to work group members which was presented by the chair. Specific guidance was also given in terms of how to operationally think about constructing the benchmarks (e.g., what would it look like if someone possessed this competency). The group then divided into the four break out groups to begin their task. Each break out group had at least one member of the planning group who served as the group facilitator and in some cases another planning group member who served as the recording secretary. The full group reconvened at the end of the first day to discuss progress.

The planning group met prior to the start of each day, during lunch each day, and at the end of the first day to report on the progress of their groups and to address any work flow issues

Mid-way through the morning of the second day, an integration activity was conducted. This was modeled after that which took place at the 2002 APPIC Competencies Conference. Two members (excluding the facilitator and recording secretary) from each breakout group rotated to one of the other breakout groups. The reconstituted break out groups then had six new members, two of each from one of the other three break out groups plus the original facilitator and recording secretary. Instructions during the integration activity were for the groups to address developmental sequencing of the competencies (e.g., were the benchmarks increasing in skill level across the sequence), provide feedback about the work of the group they had joined, and to offer input about the product of their original breakout group.

Following the integration activity the original break out groups reconvened and reviewed the discussion that occurred during the integration activity, incorporating changes as they were warranted. At the close of the second day the full group met to discuss the products of each of the breakout groups, provide feedback about the meeting, and discuss next steps including best ways to address questions and concerns about the document.

Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group Competency Benchmarks Document February 2007

Foundational Competencies

Reflective Practice Self-Assessment – Practice conducted within the boundaries of competencies, commitment to lifelong learning, engagement with scholarship, critical thinking, and a commitment to the development of the profession.

Developmental Level			
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
A. Reflective Practice	I		
Essential Component: willingness to consider one's own material; basic mindfulness and self- awareness	Essential Component: broadened self-awareness across a spectrum; self- assessment/monitoring; reflectivity regarding professional practice (reflection-on-action)	Essential Component: reflectivity in context of professional practice (reflection-in-action), reflection is acted upon, use of self as a therapeutic tool	Essential Component: reflective practice across all professional activities; use of reflectivity to self- supervise
 Behavioral Anchor: problem solving skills, critical thinking organized reasoning, intellectual curiosity and flexibility willingness/ability to self-disclose personal material 	 Behavioral Anchor: articulate attitudes, values, and beliefs toward diverse others self-assessment approaches congruence with assessment by peers and supervisors self-identifies multiple 	 Behavioral Anchor: habitually monitors his/her internal states and behavior demonstrate awareness of individual strengths and areas in need of improvement accurately assesses his/her own strengths and 	 Behavioral Anchor: self-regulates emotions and behaviors consistently and independently to fit the context demonstrates a commitment to the development of and advocacy for the profession

	 individual and cultural identities describes how others experience him/her and identify roles they might play within a group systematically reviews own professional performance via videotape or other technology 	 weaknesses and seeks to avoid or ameliorate impact on professional functioning consistently recognizes problems and knows how to address them so the problems do not interfere with delivering competent services demonstrates frequent congruence between external and internal assessment and seeks to resolve incongruence 	 tolerates and deals with ambiguity takes responsibility for the impact of one's behavior and professional role identifies and develops appropriate professional networks demonstrates sense of identity as a psychologist consistently cares about the welfare of others anticipates situations supports others in the profession maintains focus on the protection of the public by self and others subjects oneself to peer review engages in systematic self- supervision; participates in a peer supervision group
 Assessment Method(s): academic products, performance in seminars or other scholarly experiences (e.g., papers, proposals, contributions to discussions) judgments made by faculty 	 Assessment Method(s): direct observation of professional activities by supervisor (live or recorded observation, co- therapy) completion of peer and self-assessment instruments faculty and supervisory evaluation of fitness for 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluations multi-method review of client interactions peer evaluations self-evaluation 	 Assessment Method(s): standardized patients and objective structured clinical examinations supervisor review of live or recorded professional functioning (formative evaluation) evaluation of involvements in professional and community activities and/or

B. Self-Assessment and Self Essential Component: knowledge of core competencies; emerging self-assessment re: competencies; understanding of the importance of self-care in effective practice; knowledge of self-care mechanisms; attention to self-care	the profession. -Care Essential Component: accurate self-assessment; consistent monitoring and evaluation of practice activities; willingness to acknowledge and correct errors; the ability to accept and use feedback effectively; monitoring of issues related to self-care with supervisor; understanding of the central role of self-care to effective practice	Essential Component: ability to accurately critique one's own performance (self-monitoring); self- corrective practice; self- monitoring of issues related to self-care and prompt interventions when disruptions occur	 professional organizations self-report of professional identity as a psychologist and sense of self-efficacy as a psychologist summative evaluations of professional activities Essential Component: Internalized multidimensional self- assessment across competency domains; anticipates the need to engage in self-care; engages in appropriate self- care
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates the interpersonal and intrapersonal willingness and ability to consider one's own motives, attitudes, behaviors and one's effect on others basic awareness and attention to self-care awareness of clinical competencies for 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to accept and use feedback effectively works with supervisor to monitor issues related to self-care identifies areas requiring further professional growth writes a personal statement of professional goals (draft document for APPI) identifies learning objectives 	 Behavioral Anchor: systematically reviews of own professional performance via videotape or other technology changes behavior based on self-monitoring anticipates disruptions in functioning and intervenes at an early stage/with minimal support from supervisors 	 Behavioral Anchor: take context into account consistently show accurate awareness of strengths and areas for improvement acknowledges boundaries of competence assess own sets of competencies independently demonstrate congruence

Assessment Method(s) • performance prior to practicum (e.g., small group experiences, journaling, peer review, etc.) • faculty, peer, and self judgments (there should be a consideration given to consensual validation)	for each practicum as part of an overall training plan • systemically reviews own professional performance via videotape or other technology Assessment Method(s): • self, peer, and supervisor evaluations through direct or technological observation	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluations • multi-method review of client interactions • attendance at professional meetings • self-evaluation	 between self assessment and assessment from other informants able to observe and evaluate one's own thinking, emotions, and techniques show capacity to acknowledge areas for growth and limitations and to self correct seeks consultation and additional training and referral appropriately seeks and integrates feedback demonstrates commitment to ongoing and lifelong self- reflection Assessment Method(s): 360 degree evaluations if feasible action plans based on self- reflection, parallel self and other ratings
C. Professionalism			
Essential Component: beginning understanding of professionalism, including comportment and "thinking like a psychologist"	Essential Component: emerging professional identity as psychologist; uses resources for professional development	Essential Component: consolidation of one's professional identity as a psychologist; knowledgeable about	Essential Component: sense of identity as psychologist; support for development of others in profession (mentorship,

	(supervision, literature)	issues central to the field; evidence of integration of science and practice	supervision); engagement in and commitment to the profession on a life-long basis (contributions to knowledge/practice base; advocacy; active involvement in professional organizations)
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates personal organization skills, timeliness demonstrates appropriate personal hygiene demonstrates appropriate dress Has membership in professional organizations demonstrates knowledge of the program and profession (training model, core competencies) demonstrates knowledge about practicing within one's competence demonstrates understanding that knowledge goes beyond formal training 	 Behavioral Anchor: attends colloquia, workshops, conferences summarizes literature relevant to client care accurately documents case consultation in progress notes actively participates in supervision process. 	 Behavioral Anchor: keeping up with advances in profession contributing to the development & advancement of the profession and one's colleagues 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates a capacity for self-reflection and ongoing self-assessment when performing all functional competencies; demonstrates that self- reflection informs and is informed by all foundational competencies; takes responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to self-reflection
Assessment Method(s) faculty, peer, and self judgments (with 	Assessment Method(s):supervisor evaluations of student's use of supervision	Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluations multi-method review of 	Assessment Method(s): 360 assessment, self-report,

consideration given to consensual validation)	 supervisor/faculty evaluations of attendance at professional meetings and relevant seminars supervisor evaluation of literature summary quality assurance review of clinical documentation 	 client interactions attendance at professional meetings self-evaluation performance on Comprehensive Doctoral Exams 	 supervisor elicits self- reports of internal processes and self- evaluations, supervisor attends to the supervisee's spontaneous demonstration of self- reflection licensure attainment
--	--	--	---

Scientific knowledge-methods - The ability to understand research, research methodology and a respect for scientifically derived knowledge, techniques of data collection and analysis, biological bases of behavior, cognitive-affective bases of behavior, and lifespan human development.			
	Developm	ental Level	
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
A. Scientific Mindedness	•	•	•
Essential Component: critical scientific thinking	Essential Component: values and applies scientific methods to professional practice	Essential Component: independently applies scientific methods to practice	Essential Component: consistently, independently, and confidently demonstrate how science informs practice and practice informs science
 Behavioral Anchor: able to perform scientific critique of literature able to evaluate study methodology and scientific basis of findings willingness to present work for the scrutiny of others 	 Behavioral Anchor: articulates issues derived from the literature in supervision and case conference formulates appropriate questions regarding case conceptualization generates hypotheses regarding own contribution to therapeutic process and outcome 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to independently access and apply scientific knowledge & skills appropriately and habitually to the solution of problems willingness to present work for the scrutiny of others 	 Behavioral Anchor: use science base and scholarship to inform practice activities (e.g., evidence-based practice) stay current with research and scholarly literature relevant for your practice incorporates/integrates advances in knowledge with core scientific understanding of human behavior recognize ways in which clinical activities could inform research questions, study design and

 Assessment method(s): behavior/performance in seminars/courses academic work products including presentations, critiques of manuscripts, peer review of presentations annual review of progress Note: may be useful here to explore strategies for assessment of critical thinking 	Assessment Method(s): • evaluated by supervisor • self-assessment	Assessment Method(s) • EPPP • Case presentations • Portfolios • Supervisor Evaluation	 implementation, and interpretation of findings demonstrates ability and inclination for life-long learning Assessment Method(s): document activities that reflect the dissemination of information to the public that reflect the integration of science and practice accomplishes continuing education or other evidence of advanced learning (effective teaching, supervision) self assessment of life-long learning activities
B. Knowledge Essential Component:	Essential Component:	Essential Component :	Essential Component:
understanding of psychology as a science	knowledge of core science	knowledge of core science	consistently, independently, and confidently demonstrate how science informs education and education informs science
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate understanding psychology as a science including basic knowledge of the 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate knowledge of and respect for scientific bases of behavior 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate knowledge of and respect for scientific knowledge of the bases for behavior, and incorporate 	 Behavioral Anchor: educational activities reflect scientific and scholarly knowledge and contribute to their development

 breadth of scientific psychology. For example: Able to cite scientific literature to support an argument able to evaluate scholarly literature on a topic 		this into professional practice	
 Assessment Method(s): completion of relevant undergraduate preparation performance and behavior in course(s) faculty judgment annual review of progress 	 Assessment Method(s): successful performance on comprehensive examinations 	Assessment Method(s): • EPPP • case presentations • portfolios • supervisor evaluation • 360 Degree Evaluation	 Assessment Method(s): document activities that reflect the dissemination of information to the public that reflect the integration of science and education
C. Scientific Foundations			
Essential Component: understanding the scientific foundation of professional practice	Essential Component: knows, understands, and applies the concept of evidence-based practice	Essential Component: knows and understands scientific foundations and independently applies this knowledge to practice	Essential Component: independently and confidently uses science in ways to serve the public and incorporate attention to social and public interest issues in the approach to scholarly activities
 Behavioral Anchor demonstrate understanding of the scientific foundation of professional practice For example: Understands the development of evidence based practice in psychology 	 Behavioral Anchor: student applies EBP concepts in case conceptualization, treatment planning, and interventions student compares and contrasts EBP approaches with other theoretical perspectives and 	 Behavioral Anchor: student reviews scholarly literature related to clinical work and applies knowledge to case conceptualization student applies EBP concepts in practice student compares and contrasts EBP approaches 	 Behavioral Anchor: use science base and scholarship to inform public interest activities stay current with research and scholarly literature relevant for public interest activities recognize ways in which social and public interest

 demonstrate understanding of core scientific conceptualizations of human behavior able to cite scientific literature to support an argument able to evaluate scholarly literature on a topic 	interventions in the context of case conceptualization and treatment planning.	with other theoretical perspectives and interventions in the context of case conceptualization and treatment planning	issues could inform research questions, study design and implementation, and interpretation of findings
 Assessment Method(s): completion of relevant undergraduate preparation performance and behavior in course(s) faculty judgment assessment of student's ability to think scientifically about cases annual review of progress 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisory evaluation self-assessment review of case conceptualization report direct observation of professional activities by supervisor (live or recorded observations, co-therapy) successful performance on comprehensive examinations 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisory evaluation related to integration of science and practice self-assessment review of case conceptualization report/case conferences direct observation of professional activities 	 Assessment Method(s): document activities that reflect the dissemination of information to the public that reflect the integration of science and public interest
D. Integration			Essential Component: Independently and confidently integrate competence in scientific knowledge and methods with all foundational and functional competencies Behavioral Anchor: • incorporate scientific knowledge and methods

 when performing all functional competencies demonstrate that scientific knowledge and methods inform and is informed by all foundational competencies take responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to scientific knowledge and methods
Assessment Method(s): • self-report • supervisor ratings • assessments of scientific and scholarly contributions

Relationships - Capacity to relate effectively and meaningfully with individuals, groups, and/or communities				
Developmental Level				
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization	
A. Interpersonal Relationship	0S	1	•	
Essential Component: interpersonal skills	Essential Component: ability to form and maintain productive and respectful relationships with clients, peers/colleagues, supervisors and professionals from other disciplines	Essential Component: develop and maintain effective relationships with a wide range of clients, colleagues, organizations and communities	Essential Component: capacity to provide leadership with individuals, groups, organizations, and communities regardless of context	
 Behavioral Anchor: listens and is emphatic with others respects and shows interest in others' cultures, experiences, values, points of view, goals and desires, fears, etc. demonstrates skills verbally and non-verbally. open to feedback 	 Behavioral Anchor: involved in departmental, institutional, or professional activities or governance forms effective working alliance with clients forms effective working alliance with supervisors engaged with peers 	 Behavioral Anchor: negotiates conflictual, difficult and complex relationships including those with individuals and groups that differ significantly from oneself maintains satisfactory interpersonal relationships with clients, peers, faculty, allied professionals, and the public. 	 Behavioral Anchor: involved actively in local and national groups and organizations sought out by others for leadership competence assesses interpersonal systems and intervenes to enhance their functioning pursues the opportunity to serve as a leader 	
 Assessment Method(s): performance and behavior in course(s) or evaluation milestones examination of performance 	 Assessment Method(s): self-report of committee/governance activities or practicum activity log 	Assessment Method(s): • 360 evaluation • self evaluation	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluations, documentation of activities feedback from colleagues and peers 	

in interviews • faculty, peer and self judgment including showing engagement with peers	 client no-show, drop-out, and satisfaction/alliance ratings supervisor ratings peer ratings direct observation of professional activities by supervisor (live or recorded observation, co-therapy) 		performance evaluations
B. Affective Skills			
Essential Component: affective skills	Essential Component: ability to negotiate differences and handle conflict; ability to provide effective feedback to others and receive feedback nondefensively	Essential Component: ability to manage difficult communication; possess advanced interpersonal skills	Essential Component: independently uses relationships as a source of personal development
 Behavioral Anchor: possesses affect tolerance tolerates and understands interpersonal conflict tolerates ambiguity and uncertainty possesses awareness of inner emotional experience possesses emotional maturity 	 Behavioral Anchor: works collaboratively demonstrates active problem-solving makes appropriate disclosures regarding problematic interpersonal situations accepts and implements supervisory feedback nondefensively acknowledges own role in difficult interactions provides feedback to supervisor regarding 	 Behavioral Anchor: seeks clarification in interpersonal communications demonstrates understanding of diverse viewpoints accepts and implements feedback from others 	 Behavioral Anchor: establishes relationships in which feedback is solicited Identifies and seeks out constructive interpersonal feedback utilizes feedback to alter behavior assimilates external and internal feedback to inform one's knowledge, skills, attitudes, and their integration

Assessment Method(s) • faculty, peer, and self judgments (there should be a consideration given to consensual validation)	 supervisory process provides feedback to peers regarding peers' clinical work in context of group supervision or case conference listens to and acknowledges feedback from others Assessment Method(s): self, peer and supervisory evaluation direct observation of professional activities by supervisor (live or recorded observation, co-therapy) 	Assessment Method(s): • self, peer and supervisory evaluation • peer consultation • seeks activities that require professional interactions.	Assessment Method(s): • 360 degree evaluation • self-report • supervisor report • mentor report, • employer report • performance evaluations • seeks advanced certifications
C. Intradisciplinary Relations	•		
Essential Component: intradisciplinary respect	Essential Component: knowledge regarding professional relationships, norms and culture, including establishing and maintaining appropriate professional demeanor and boundaries	Essential Component: clear understanding of professional boundaries, appreciates individual and group differences and respects self and others	Essential Component independently integrates competence in relationships with all foundational and functional competencies
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates respectful and collegial interactions with those who have different professional 	 Behavioral Anchor: adheres to ethical standards and institutional policies and procedures 	 Behavioral Anchor: adheres to ethical standards and institutional policies and procedures. participates in local and 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates relationship competence when performing all functional competencies

		and an all and to a should be	
models or perspectives than own		national professional organizations	 demonstrates that relationship competence informs and is informed by all foundational competencies takes responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relationships
 Assessment Method(s) performance and behaviors in course(s) or evaluation milestones) faculty, peer, and self judgments (there should be a consideration given to consensual validation) 	 Assessment Method(s): self, peer, client, staff, and supervisory evaluation (absence of negative reports). 	 Assessment Method(s): self, peer, client, staff, and supervisory evaluation (absence of negative reports). 	Assessment Methods(s): • 360 assessment • self-report • performance reviews
D. Expressive Skills	l		
Essential Component:			
expressive skills			
Behavioral Anchor:			
• communicates one's ideas,			
feelings and information verbally			
Assessment Method(s):			
• performance and behaviors			
in course(s) or evaluation milestones			
 faculty, peer, and self 			
judgment			

Individual-cultural Diversity

Awareness and sensitivity in working professionally with diverse individuals, groups and communities who represent various cultural and personal background and characteristics.

Developmental Level			
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
A. Self-Awareness			
Essential Component: knowledge, awareness, and understanding of one's own situation (e.g., one's ethnic/racial, socioeconomic, gender, sexual orientation; one's attitudes towards diverse others) relative to dimensions of individual and cultural diversity	Essential Component: monitors and applies knowledge of self as a cultural being in assessment, treatment, and consultation	Essential Component: independently monitors and applies knowledge of self as a cultural being in assessment, treatment, and consultation	Essential Component: independently monitors and applies knowledge of self as a cultural being in assessment, treatment, and consultation with awareness/sensitivity to specific populations and problems
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstration of this self knowledge, awareness, and understanding. For example: articulates how ethnic group values influence who one is and how one relates to other people. 	 Behavioral Anchor: understands and monitors own cultural identities in relation to work with others able to use knowledge of self to monitor effectiveness as a professional critically evaluates feedback and initiates supervision regularly about diversity issues 	 Behavioral Anchor: independently able to articulate, understand, and monitor own cultural identity in relation to work with others able to regularly use knowledge of self to monitor and improve effectiveness as a professional 	 Behavioral Anchor: independently able to articulate, understand, and monitor own cultural identity in relation to work with others with awareness and sensitivity to specific populations and problems able to regularly and independently use

Assessment Method(s) • performance prior to practicum (e.g., small group experiences, journaling, peer review, as well as performance in courses) • faculty, peer, and self	Assessment Method(s): • congruence among self, peer, and supervisory evaluations of ICD and self knowledge and application • supervisor, peer, and self evaluations of case	 able to critically evaluate feedback and initiate consultation or supervision when uncertain about diversity issues Assessment Method(s): congruence among self, peer, and supervisory evaluations of ICD and self knowledge and application supervisor, peer, and self 	 knowledge of self to monitor and improve effectiveness as a professional able to critically evaluate feedback and initiate consultation when uncertain about diversity issues with specific populations and problems Assessment Method(s): supervisor / employer, peer, and self evaluations of case presentations and work samples client satisfaction ratings
judgments (there should be a consideration given to consensual validation) B. Applied Knowledge	presentations and work samples	evaluations of case presentations and work samples	
Essential Component: basic knowledge of and	Essential Component: applies knowledge,	Essential Component: applies knowledge, skills,	Essential Component: independent ability to
sensitivity to the scientific, theoretical, and contextual	sensitivity, and understanding regarding ICD	and attitudes regarding intersecting and complex	address complex individual and cultural diversity
issues related to ICD (as	issues to work effectively	dimensions of diversity	issues as they inform all
defined by APA policy) as	with diverse others in	(e.g. age, gender, enculturation, sexual	foundational and functional
they apply to professional psychology. Understanding	assessment, treatment, and consultation	orientation) to professional	competencies
of the need to consider ICD		work	
issues in all aspects of professional psychology			

work (e.g., assessment, treatment, research, relationships with colleagues)			
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates basic knowledge of literatures on individual and cultural differences and engages in respectful interactions that reflects the knowledge demonstrates understanding of the need to consider ICD issues in all aspects of professional psychology work through respectful interactions 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates knowledge of ICD literature and APA policies (e.g., Multicultural, LGB) demonstrates ability to address ICD issues across professional settings and activities works effectively with diverse others in professional activities demonstrates awareness of effects of oppression and privilege on self and others 	 Behavioral Anchor: articulates an integrative conceptualization of diversity as it impacts clients, self and others (e.g., organizations, colleagues, systems of care) habitually adapts one's professional behavior in a culturally sensitive manner, as appropriate to the needs of the client, that improves client outcomes and avoids harm articulates and uses alternative and culturally appropriate repertoire of skills and techniques and behaviors seeks consultation regarding addressing individual and cultural diversity when relevant 	 Behavioral Anchor: recognizes, analyzes, and integrates different aspects of diversity (i.e., multiple identities) in all professional activities contributes to individual and cultural diversity understanding in settings in which one works and in the profession integrates an understanding of individual and cultural diversity when performing all functional competencies demonstrates that individual and cultural diversity sensitivity informs and is informed by all foundational competencies takes responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to individual and cultural diversity
Assessment Method(s):performance prior to practicum (e.g., small group	Assessment Method(s):direct observation of professional activities by	Assessment Method(s): 360 evaluation supervisor, peer, and self 	Assessment Method(s):standardized patients and objective structured clinical

review, as well as performance in courses) • faculty, peer, and self judgments (there should be a consideration given to consensual validation)	pervisor (live or recorded servation, co-therapy) ent satisfaction surveys ent no-show and drop-out tes If, peer, and supervisory aluation of inclusion of D case conceptualization preparation for the APPI)	evaluations of case presentations and work samples	 examination client satisfaction feedback portfolio retention and drop-out of clients/patients
--	---	--	--

• • • •	 Application of ethical concepts ganizations. Advocating for the p 	s and awareness of legal issues i profession.	regarding professional activities
	Developm	nental Level	
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
A. Knowledge			•
Essential Component: basic knowledge of the principles of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct [ethical practice and basic skills in ethical decision making]; rudimentary knowledge of legal and regulatory issues in the practice of psychology that apply to practice while placed at practicum setting.	Essential Component: working knowledge and understanding of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct and other relevant ethical/ professional codes, standards and guidelines; laws, statutes, rules, regulations	Essential Component: routine command and application of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct and other relevant and other ethical, legal and professional standards and guidelines of the profession	Essential Component: consistent application of ethical, legal and professional standards for the protection of the public and the discipline
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates an understanding of this knowledge (e.g., APA, Ethics Code and principles, Ethical Decision Making Models) through related applied coursework (e.g. multicultural, pre-practicum, seminars) class discussion of professional constructs of 	 Behavioral Anchor: behaves ethically successfully completes coursework in ethics and legal aspects of professional practice identifies ethical dilemmas effectively actively consults with supervisor to act upon ethical and legal aspects of 	 Behavioral Anchor: spontaneously and reliably identifies complex ethical & legal issues, analyzes them accurately and proactively addresses them aware of potential conflicts in complex ethical and legal issues and seeks to prevent problems and unprofessional conduct 	 Behavioral Anchor: recognizes and addresses ethical and legal misconduct of others prevents own ethical and legal misconduct consults with colleagues on ethical implications of cases and other professional work seeks CE for ethics and professional development

 ethical implications demonstrates knowledge of typical legal issues (e.g., child abuse reporting, HIPAA, Confidentiality, Informed Consent) through test performance and discussion 	 practice addresses ethical and legal aspects within the case conceptualization discusses ethical implications of professional work recognizes and discusses limits of own ethical and legal knowledge 	 awareness of the obligation to confront peers and or organizations regarding ethical problems or issues and to deal proactively with conflict when addressing professional behavior with others 	 engages in professional presentations inclusive of ethical components
 Assessment Method(s): performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones through purposeful application of ethical concepts to course content and through ethical decision making in hypothetical practice situation. 	 Assessment Method(s): self, peer, and supervisory assessment (360 degree) direct observation of professional activities by supervisor (co-therapy, live or recorded observation) client satisfaction instruments successful completion of ethics component of comprehensive examinations 	Assessment Method(s): • EPPP • Supervisors evaluation • self evaluation • client feedback • state and provincial jurisprudence exams	 Assessment Method(s): peer consultation documents CE activities peer evaluation of presentations performance on board certification examination
	n of Ethical Decision Making N		
Essential Component: recognizes the importance of basic ethical concepts applicable in initial practice (e.g. child abuse reporting, Informed consent, confidentiality, multiple relationships, and competence)	Essential Component: knows and applies an ethical decision-making model and is able to apply relevant elements of ethical decision making to a dilemma	Essential Component: commitment to integration of ethics knowledge into professional work	Essential Component: independently and confidently demonstrates capacity for complex ethical decision-making and reasoning

 Behavioral Anchor: student and instructor discussions in class, clinical venues, and interactive educational experiences. 	 Behavioral Anchor: uses an ethical decision-making model when discussing cases in supervision. Is able to readily identify ethical implications in cases and to understand the ethical elements in any present ethical dilemma or question Is able to discuss ethical dilemmas and decision making in supervision, staffings, presentations, practicum settings 	 Behavioral Anchor: Intentional inclusion in professional writings and presentations of applicable ethical principles and standards Intentional inclusion of applicable ethics concepts in research design and subject treatment Intentional inclusion of ethics and professional concepts in teaching and training activities develops strategies to seek consultation regarding complex ethical and legal dilemmas 	 Behavioral Anchor: addresses ethical and legal dilemmas when principles are in conflict articulates ethical principles that guide professional behavior incorporates, as appropriate, ethical concepts, principles, and standards in training, grant writing, and teaching ability to apply complex ethical concepts in special settings or with special populations (e.g. children, elderly, organizations)
Assessment Method(s): • performance in course(s) through purposeful application of ethical concepts to course content or program evaluation by faculty	 Assessment Method(s): self and supervisory evaluation successful completion of ethics component of comprehensive examinations and other formative evaluations successfully performs in written and oral evaluations through mock ethical scenarios, hypothetical cases and consultation on peer cases through group supervision or staffing successful creation of 	 Assessment Method(s): ongoing status for practice through licensure supervisors evaluation self evaluation performance on state and provincial jurisprudence exams performance on EPPP peer review of writings ongoing annual performance review mock ethical dilemmas/vignettes 	 self-evaluation performance on board certification examination

3. Ethical Conduct Essential Component: displays ethical attitudes and values	hypothetical ethics cases, identification of dilemmas, and recommendations for action Essential Component: displays knowledge of own moral principles/ethical values.	Essential Component: independently and confidently integrates ethical/legal standards policy with all foundational and functional	Essential Component: continues to independently and confidently integrate ethical/legal standards policy with all foundational and functional competencies
 Behavioral Anchor: desire to help others openness to new ideas honesty/integrity/values ethical behavior personal courage demonstrates a capacity for appropriate boundary management implements ethical concepts into professional behavior 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to articulate knowledge of own moral principles and ethical values in discussions with supervisors and peers about ethical issues spontaneously discusses intersection of personal and professional ethical and moral issues. 	 competencies Behavioral Anchor: integrates an understanding of ethicallegal standards policy when performing all functional competencies demonstrates that ethical-legal-standards policy competence informs and is informed by all foundational competencies takes responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to ethical-legal-standards and policies. 	 Behavioral Anchor: integrates an understanding of ethical-legal standards policy when performing all functional competencies demonstrates that ethical- legal-standards policy competence informs and is informed by all foundational competencies takes responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to ethical-legal-standards and policies

 Assessment Method(s): judgment by faculty and peers that student exhibits these behaviors (i.e., Admitting errors, interpersonal risks consistent with values, intellectual honesty despite adverse academic outcomes.) programmatic evaluation of integration of ethical conduct, attitudes, and action in professional interactions 	 Assessment Method(s): self assessment supervisory assessment successful completion of ethics component of comprehensive examinations Ability to implement ethical behaviors and decision making in professional activities 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor ratings of consistent ethical performance ability to attest to absence of complaints; feedback from colleagues willingness to serve professionally in the ethics arena 	Assessment Method(s): • licensure in good standing • ability to attest to absence of complaints; feedback from colleagues • performance on board certification examination
---	--	---	--

Interdisciplinary systems – Identification and involvement with one's colleagues and peers. Knowledge of key issues and concepts in related disciplines and the ability to interact with professionals in them. Developmental Level				
A. Understanding the shared and distinctive contributions of other professions, knowledge of key issues and concepts related to the work of other professionals.				
Essential Component: beginning, basic knowledge of the viewpoints and contributions of other professions/ professionals	Essential Component: aware of multiple and differing worldviews, roles, professional standards, and contributions across contexts and systems, intermediate level knowledge of common and distinctive roles of other professionals	Essential Component: working knowledge of multiple and differing worldviews, professional standards, and contributions across contexts and systems, intermediate level knowledge of common and distinctive roles of other professionals	Essential Component: able to utilize and integrate into day-to-day activities a strong working knowledge of multiple and differing worldviews, professional standards, and contributions across contexts and systems, advanced level knowledge of common and distinctive roles of other professionals	
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates knowledge and valuing of roles, functions and service delivery systems of other professions 	 Behavioral Anchor: reports observations of commonality and differences among professional roles, values, and standards 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates ability to articulate the role that others provide in service to clients demonstrates ability to work successfully on interdisciplinary team 	 Behavioral Anchor: integrates an understanding of each discipline/ profession's contribution to interdisciplinary systems when performing all functional competencies takes responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to interdisciplinary 	

			systems
Assessment Method(s): • performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones (e.g., annual reviews, comprehensive exams)	 Assessment Method(s): direct observation and evaluation by supervisor of professional activities when in contact with other professionals (live or videotape, co-therapy). if not available pre- internship, assessment of learning objectives for this competency and plans for obtaining opportunities on internship will be the method of assessment 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluations multi-method review of professional activities when in contact with other professionals 360 degree evaluations self-evaluation 	 Assessment Method(s): direct observation and 360 degree evaluations by other professionals supervisor and employer evaluations self-evaluation team feedback
B. Multidisciplinary and inter contexts	disciplinary functioning: unde	rstanding the differences and	ability to function in both
Essential Component: beginning, basic knowledge of the principles of interdisciplinary vs. multidisciplinary functioning	Essential Component: beginning, basic knowledge of the process of interdisciplinary vs. multidisciplinary functioning, in terms of strategies for interdisciplinary collaboration (as opposed to simple conceptual understanding of the principles of interdisciplinary vs. multidisciplinary professional functioning)	Essential Component: beginning, basic knowledge of and ability to display the skills that support effective interdisciplinary team functioning, such as communicating without jargon, dealing effectively with disagreements about diagnosis or treatment goals, supporting and utilizing the perspectives of other team members	Essential Component: advanced knowledge of the principles and practice of interdisciplinary vs. multidisciplinary functioning, beyond skills already developed, shows ability to enter a multidisciplinary setting and, if appropriate, help the team begin to communicate and collaborate more effectively, thus becoming more interdisciplinary
Behavioral Anchor:	Behavioral Anchor:	Behavioral Anchor:	Behavioral Anchor:
---	--	---	--
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates knowledge of the nature of interdisciplinary vs. multidisciplinary function and the conceptual differences between them. 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates knowledge of the nature of interdisciplinary vs. multidisciplinary function and the skills that support interdisciplinary process. 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates skill in interdisciplinary clinical settings in working with other professionals to incorporate psychological information into overall team planning and implementation 	 Behavioral Anchor: distinguishes multidisciplinary vs. interdisciplinary function, knows how each can be effectively utilized, and understands how to promote more fully interdisciplinary function when a team is not yet at that level demonstrates advanced skill in interdisciplinary clinical settings and demonstrates skill in catalyzing a shift from multidisciplinary to interdisciplinary team function
Assessment Method(s): • performance in courses or evaluation milestones (e.g., annual reviews, comprehensive exams)	 Assessment Method(s): direct observation and evaluation by supervisor of professional activities when in contact with other professionals (live or videotape, co-therapy). If those opportunities are not available pre-internship, supervisor and/or Training Director's assessment of learning objectives for this competency and plans for obtaining opportunities on internship will be the 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluations based on direct observation of interdisciplinary training experiences multi-method review of professional activities when in contact with other professionals 360 degree evaluations utilizing non-Psychology team members in interprofessional training sites self-evaluation 	 Assessment Method(s): direct observation and 360 degree evaluations by other professionals supervisor and employer evaluations self-evaluation team feedback

	method of assessment		
C. Understands how particing	bation in interdisciplinary colla	boration/ consultation enhance	es outcomes
Essential Component:: ability to participate in interdisciplinary collaboration/ consultation directed toward shared goals	Essential Component: ability to participate in and initiate interdisciplinary collaboration/ consultation directed toward shared goals	Essential Component: intermediate level ability to recognize and engage in opportunities for effective collaboration with other professionals toward shared goals	Essential Component: advanced ability to recognize and engage in opportunities for effective collaboration with other professionals toward shared goals
Behavioral Anchor:demonstrates the above	Behavioral Anchor:demonstrates the above	 Behavioral Anchor: evidence of systematically collaborating with other relevant partners 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates interdisciplinary systems competence that informs and is informed by all foundational competencies
 Assessment Method(s): faculty evaluation of performance and behavior in course(s) or evaluation milestones 	 Assessment Method(s): evaluation/direct observation by supervisor 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluations multi-method review of client interactions 360 degree evaluations self-evaluation 	 Assessment Method(s): psychological information is incorporated into team products
	e relationships with individuals		
Essential Component: awareness of the benefits of forming collaborative relationships with other professionals	Essential Component: ability to develop and maintain, where appropriate, collaborative relationships and respect for other professionals	Essential Component: ability to develop and maintain collaborative relationships over time despite differences	Essential Component: ability to develop and maintain collaborative relationships over time despite differences, actively enhances team functioning
Behavioral Anchor:expresses interest in developing collaborative	Behavioral Anchor:communicates effectively with individuals from other	Behavioral Anchor:communicates effectively with individuals from other	Behavioral Anchorcommunicates effectively with individuals from other

relationships and respect for other professionals	profession	 professions appreciates and integrates perspectives from multiple professions 	 professions appreciates and integrates perspectives from multiple professions demonstrates an ability to apply an understanding of system dynamics to facilitate team process and improve outcomes
Assessment Method(s): • faculty evaluation of performance and behavior in course(s) or evaluation milestones	 Assessment Method(s): faculty evaluation of performance and behavior in course(s) or evaluation milestones direct observation and evaluation by supervisor of professional activities when in contact with other professionals (live or videotape, co-therapy). If those opportunities are not available pre-internship, supervisor and/or Training Director's assessment of learning objectives for this competency and plans for obtaining opportunities on internship will be the method of assessment self-evaluation 	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluations • multi-method review of client interactions • 360 degree evaluations • Self-evaluation	 Assessment Method(s): direct observation assess for achievement of goals psychological information is incorporated into team products supervisor and employer evaluations, team feedback self-evaluation

Functional Competencies

Assessment-diagnosis-case conceptualization Assessment and diagnosis of problems and issues associated with individuals, groups, and/or organizations				
	Develo	pmental Level		
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization	
I. Diagnosis		-	•	
A. Normal/Abnormal Behav	ior	-		
Essential Component: basic knowledge regarding the range of normal and abnormal behavior	Essential Component: apply concepts of normal/abnormal behavior to case formulation and diagnosis.	Essential Component: ability to utilize case formulation and diagnosis for treatment planning	Essential Component: utilize case formulation, diagnosis and treatment plan for specific populations and problems	
 Behavioral Anchor: identify DSM criteria describe normal development consistent with broad area of training 	 Behavioral Anchor: articulates relevant developmental features and clinical symptoms as applied to presenting problem 	 Behavioral Anchor: treatment plans incorporate relevant developmental features and clinical symptoms as applied to presenting problem 	 Behavioral Anchor: treatment plans incorporate relevant developmental features and clinical symptoms as applied to presenting problem with specific populations and problems 	
Assessment Method(s):performance in course(s) or evaluation milestone	 Assessment Method(s): performance in advanced coursework supervisor evaluations 	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluations	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluations • employer evaluations • peer evaluations	

B. Skills			
Essential Component: basic knowledge of formulating diagnosis and case conceptualization	Essential Component: ability to utilize systematic approaches of gathering data to inform clinical decision-making	Essential Component: ability to independently and accurately conceptualize the multiple dimensions of the case based on the results of assessment	Essential Component: ability to independently and accurately conceptualize the multiple dimensions of case based on the results of the assessment for specific populations and problems
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate the ability to discuss diagnostic formulation and case conceptualization prepare basic reports which articulate theoretical material 	 Behavioral Anchor: prepares reports that incorporate material from client 	 Behavioral Anchor: independently prepares reports based on actual client material administers, scores and interprets test results 	 Behavioral Anchor: accurately and efficiently arrives at diagnostic conclusions/case conceptualization case conceptualization skills for patients with similar diagnoses clearly reflect knowledge/incorporation of factors specific to the population and problem
 Assessment Method(s): performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones 	 Assessment Method(s): case presentation which includes case conceptualization supervisor evaluations 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluation self-evaluation portfolio case presentation which includes case conceptualization 	Assessment Method(s): • self-evaluation • employer evaluation • supervisor evaluation • peer evaluation • portfolio

II. Assessment					
A. Knowledge of Measurem	A. Knowledge of Measurement and Psychometrics				
Essential Component: basic knowledge of the scientific, theoretical, and contextual basis of test construction and interviewing	Essential Component: ability to select assessment measures with attention to issues of reliability and validity	Essential Component: ability to independently select and implement multiple methods and means of evaluation in ways that are responsive to and respectful of diverse individuals, couples, families and groups	Essential Component: ability to independently select and implement multiple methods and means of evaluation in ways that are responsive to and respectful of diverse individuals, couples, families, and groups as well as problems specific to the practice areas		
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate knowledge of the criteria and process for selection of appropriate procedures knowledge of the construct(s) being assessed demonstrates understanding of basic psychometric constructs such as validity, reliability, and test construction 	 Behavioral Anchor: identifies appropriate assessment measures for cases seen at practice site routinely consults with supervisor regarding selection of assessment measures 	 Behavioral Anchor: awareness of and ability to use culturally sensitive instruments, norms seeks consultation as needed to guide assessment limitations of assessment data clearly reflected in assessment reports 	 Behavioral Anchor: awareness of and ability to use culturally sensitive instruments, norms seeks consultation as needed to guide assessment limitations of assessment data clearly reflected in assessment reports selects evaluation methods appropriate for specific populations and problems 		
 Assessment Method(s): performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones including response to clinical vignettes 	 Assessment Method(s): assessment component of doctoral comprehensive examinations supervisor evaluation 	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluation • case presentations • review of test reports • doctoral comprehensive examinations	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluation • case presentations • self-evaluation		

B. Use of Methods			
1. Interview			
Essential Component knowledge of the models and techniques of clinical interviewing and mental status exam	Essential Component knowledge of the principles and practice of systematic interviewing, data gathering and the interpretation data pursuant to the presenting problem	Essential Component ability to independently use the clinical interview to make formulation and diagnosis for treatment planning purposes	Essential Component ability to independently use the clinical interview to make formulation and diagnosis for treatment planning purposes with the specific population and problem
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates knowledge of initial interviewing (both structured and semi- structured interviews, mini- mental status exam), treatment planning, goal setting, relationship building 	 Behavioral Anchor: collects accurate and relevant data from structured and semi- structured interviews and mini-mental status exams reports reflect data that has been collected via interview consults with supervisor routinely 	 Behavioral Anchor: collects accurate and relevant data from structured and semi- structured interviews and mini-mental status exams reports reflect data that has been collected via interview interview and report lead to the development of appropriate treatment plan seeks consultation as needed 	 Behavioral Anchor: collects accurate and relevant data from structured and semi- structured interviews and mini- mental status exams that reflects awareness of specific population and problem interview and report lead to the development of appropriate treatment plan specific to the population and problem
 Assessment Method(s): performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones 	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor review • in vivo observation	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor review • in vivo observation	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor review • in vivo observation • self-assessment • patient satisfaction survey

2. Tests/Measurements				
Essential Component: knowledge of administration and scoring of traditional assessment measures and related technological advances.	Essential Component: awareness of the strengths and limitations of administration, scoring and interpretation of traditional assessment measures as well as related technological advances	Essential Component: ability to independently understand the strengths and limitations of diagnostic approaches and interpretation of results from multiple measures for diagnosis and treatment planning	Essential Component: ability to independently understand the strengths and limitations of diagnostic approaches and interpretations of results from multiple measures for diagnosis and treatment planning with specific populations and problems	
 Behavioral Anchor: accurately and consistently administer and score various assessment tools in non-clinical (e.g. course) contexts 	 Behavioral Anchor: accurately and consistently selects, administers, and scores and interprets assessment tools with clinical populations routinely seeks supervision 	 Behavioral Anchor: selection of assessment tools reflects a flexible approach to answering the diagnostic questions comprehensive reports include discussion of strengths and limitations of assessment measures as appropriate seeks supervision as needed 	 Behavioral Anchor: selection of assessment battery reflects a flexible approach to answering the diagnostic question specific to the population and problems comprehensive reports include discussion of strengths and limitations of assessment measures as appropriate for the specific population and problem 	
 Assessment Method(s): performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones 	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluation	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluation • case presentation	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluation • employer evaluation • peer evaluation	

III. Integration	III. Integration			
A. Site Specific				
Essential Component: knowledge of where assessment methods are similar and different across practice sites	Essential Component: displays knowledge of assessment tools appropriate to training site	Essential Component: independently selects and administers assessment tools appropriate to the practice site and broad area of practice	Essential Component: independently selects and administers assessment tools appropriate to the practice site with specific populations and problems	
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates awareness of need for selection of assessment measures appropriate to population/problem that is the primary focus of a practice site 	 Behavioral Anchor: selects assessment tools that reflect awareness of patient population served at a given practice site routinely seeks supervision 	 Behavioral Anchor: independently selects assessment tools that reflect awareness of patient population served at practice site seeks supervision as needed 	 Behavioral Anchor: independently selects assessment tools appropriate to patient population served at practice site with recognition of specific populations and problems 	
Assessment Method(s): • clinical case vignettes	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluation	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluation • case presentation	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor evaluation • employer evaluation • self-evaluation • patient satisfactions surveys	

B. Communication of Results					
Essential Component: awareness of models of report writing and progress notes	Essential Component: ability to write assessment reports and progress notes	Essential Component: communication of results in written and verbal form clearly, constructively, and accurately in a conceptually appropriate manner	Essential Component communication of results in written and verbal form clearly, constructively, and accurately in a conceptually appropriate manner with specific populations and problems		
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates this knowledge including content and organizations of test reports, mental status examinations, interviews 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to write a basic psychological report routinely seeks supervision 	 Behavioral Anchor ability to write a comprehensive report ability to communicate results verbally seeks supervision as needed 	 Behavioral Anchor: communicate effectively to professional and lay audiences assessment strategies, procedures, and findings 		
Assessment methods: • course performance	Assessment methods: • supervisor review of written reports	Assessment method: • supervisor evaluation	Assessment Method(s) supervisor and employer evaluation 		
C. Integrated skills	C. Integrated skills				
Essential Component: basic knowledge of measurement across domains of functioning.	Essential Component: knowledge ability to select appropriate assessment measures to answer diagnostic question	Essential Component: knowledge and ability to independently select and use a variety of measures and integrate results to	Essential Component: independently and confidently formulate and implement an assessment strategy adapted to the particular context that		

		accurately evaluate presenting problem	results in the development of an integrated and multi-faceted conceptualization and formulation with specific populations and problems
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate what is included in formal psychological testing, differential diagnosis across all domains in which psychologists practice 	 Behavioral Anchor: able to independently select and use appropriate methods of evaluation seeks supervision routinely 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to interpret assessment results accurately taking into account limitations of the evaluation method ability to provide meaningful, understandable and useful feedback that is responsive to client need seeks supervision as needed 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate a consistent ability to assess range of situations and complex cases specific to population and problems articulate consistently rationale for assessment choices
Assessment Method(s): • performance in course(s)	 Assessment Method(s): assessment component of doctoral comprehensive examinations supervisory evaluation of integrated report writing 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluation case presentation/ "mini- ABPP" 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor review of evaluations feedback from consumers meet productivity standards
D. Integration			
			Essential Component: independently, confidently, and accurately integrate competence in assessment- diagnosis-case conceptualization with foundational and functional competencies

	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate that assessment incorporates all foundational competencies integrate an understanding of assessment when performing all functional competencies take responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to assessment Assessment Method(s): live observation assess for achievement of goals supervisor and employer evaluations
	 team feedback practice portfolio standardized patients and objective structured clinical
	case reviews

Intervention			
Interventions designed to allevi	iate suffering and to promote hea	Ith and well-being of individuals,	groups, and/or organizations
	Developm	ental Level	
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
A. Knowledge of Intervention	ns		
Essential Component: basic knowledge of scientific, theoretical, and contextual bases of intervention and basic knowledge of the value of evidence-based practice and it's role in scientific psychology	Essential Component: knowledge of scientific, theoretical, empirical and contextual bases of intervention, including psychotherapy theory, research, and practice	Essential Component: ability to apply knowledge of evidence-based practice, including empirical bases of intervention strategies, clinical expertise, and client preferences	Essential Component: integrated knowledge of scientific, theoretical and contextual bases of intervention and ability to habitually apply it to evidence-based practice
 Behavioral anchor: able to articulate the relationship of EBPP to the science of psychology identify basic strengths and weaknesses of intervention approaches for different problems and populations related to the practice setting 	 Behavioral Anchor: presents comprehensive presentation of case, including video or audio of work with client ongoing research on and integration of client issues write a statement of one's theoretical perspective consistent with that required for AAPI successful performance of intervention component of doctoral comprehensive examinations 	 Behavioral Anchor: presents rationale for intervention strategy that include empirical support demonstrates sufficient expertise to implement strategy write a case summary incorporating elements of evidence-based practice. 	 Behavioral Anchor: presents integrated rationale for all intervention strategies skillfully implements variety of intervention strategies habitually writes comprehensive case summaries incorporating elements of evidence- based practice

Assessment Method(s): • performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones	 Assessment Method(s): doctoral comprehensive examination; performance on comprehensive case presentation supervisory evaluation review and evaluation of AAPI statement. 	 Assessment Method(s): performance on comprehensive case presentation evaluations by supervisors, clients, others. 	 Assessment Method(s): ABPP or specialty examination performance portfolio standardized patient
B. Intervention planning Essential Component: basic understanding of the relationship between assessment and intervention	Essential Component: ability to formulate and conceptualize cases and plan interventions utilizing at least one consistent theoretical orientation	Essential Component: independent intervention planning, including conceptualization and intervention plan specific to case and context	Essential Componen: independently and confidently adapt intervention approaches to unique context and setting
 Behavioral Anchor: articulate a basic understanding of how intervention choices are informed by assessment 	 Behavioral Anchor: articulates a theory of change and identifies interventions to implement change; as consistent with the AAPI writes understandable case conceptualization reports and collaborative treatment plans incorporating evidence-based practices successful completion of intervention component doctoral comprehensive examinations 	 Behavioral Anchor: accurately assesses presenting problem taking in to account the larger context of the client's life, including diversity issues conceptualizes case independently and accurately independently selects an intervention or range of interventions appropriate for the presenting problem(s) 	 Behavioral Anchor: modify thoughtfully interventions appropriately taking into account the specific demands and contexts possess an array of strategies for addressing a range of problems communicate decision factors that guide approach

Assessment Method(s) judgment of faculty and/or performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones 	 Assessment methods: quality assurance reviews supervisor evaluation doctoral comprehensive examinations 	 Assessment Method(s) direct observation by supervisor client satisfaction intervention outcome self & peer observation case presentation portfolio 	 Assessment Method(s): feedback from clients and patients, co-therapy self-report monitor patient/client dropout and satisfaction record review continuous quality improvement practice portfolios
C. Intervention Implementati	Essential Component: ability to implement evidence-based interventions that take into account empirical support,	Essential Component : implements interventions with fidelity to empirical models and flexibility to adapt where appropriate	Essential Component: independently and confidently articulate and implement a personalized conceptual approach and
	clinical judgment, and client diversity (e.g., client characteristics, values, and context)		associated intervention strategies informed by scientific foundations, theoretical understanding, and professional experience
	 Behavioral Anchor: apply specific evidence- based interventions present case that documents application of evidence-based practice 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to independently and effectively implement a typical range of intervention strategies appropriate to practice setting ability to independently recognize and manage special circumstances ability to terminate 	 Behavioral Anchor: describe science, theory, and practice base for own theoretical orientation differentiate own orientation from others provide rationale based on evidenced-based practice for own approach to interventions,

		 treatment successfully ability to collaborate effectively with other providers or systems of care self-care 	 keep and analyze own data base
	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor ratings of performance consistent with student's readiness for internship. 	 Assessment Method(s): direct observation by supervisor client satisfaction intervention outcome self & peer observation case presentation portfolio 	 Assessment Method(s): comparison of self-report and demonstrated behavior practice portfolios written statement of orientation and rationale consumer satisfaction retention and drop-out rates
D. Progress evaluation			
Essential Component: basic knowledge of the assessment of intervention progress and outcome	Essential Component: evaluate treatment progress and modify treatment planning as indicated, utilizing established outcome measures	Essential Component: evaluate treatment progress and modify planning as indicated, even in the absence of established outcome measures	Essential Component: independently and confidently implement a systematic strategy to assess and evaluate ongoing intervention effectiveness
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstration of basic knowledge of methods to examine intervention outcomes 	 Behavioral Anchor: assesses and documents treatment progress and outcomes alterations in treatment plan are made accordingly describes instances of lack progress and actions taken in response. 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to independently assess treatment effectiveness & efficiency ability to critically evaluate own performance in the treatment role awareness of need for consultation 	 Behavioral Anchor: use feedback and analysis to guide subsequent intervention, keep and analyze own data base

 Assessment Method(s): performance in course(s) or evaluation milestones 	 Assessment Methods(s): supervisor evaluation including clinical documentation tracks own self-efficacy in intervention 	 Assessment Methods(s) consumer satisfaction ratings tracks own self-efficacy in intervention 	 Assessment Methods(s): consumer satisfaction ratings retention and drop-out rates
E. Skills			
Essential Component: basic helping skills	Essential Component: clinical skills	Essential Component: clinical skills and judgment	Essential Component: advanced clinical skills and judgment
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstration of these skills, such as empathic listening, framing problems 	 Behavioral Anchor: develop rapport with most clients develop therapeutic relationships 	 Behavioral Anchor: develops rapport and relationships with wide variety of clients uses good judgment about unexpected issues, such as crises, use of supervision, confrontation 	 Behavioral Anchor: easily establishes rapport and relationships with most clients demonstrates excellent clinical judgment to prevent and respond to unexpected issues, like suicidal behavior
 Assessment Methods(s): simulations and/or role plays in courses and evaluation milestones self and peer evaluations 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor and client evaluations case observations 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor and client evaluations case observations case presentations 	Assessment Method(s):client evaluations and case outcomes
F. Intervention Integration			Essential Component: independently and confidently integrate intervention competence with all foundational and functional competencies

	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate that intervention incorporates all foundational competencies integrate an understanding of intervention when performing all functional competencies take responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to intervention
	 Assessment Methods(s): standardized patients and objective structure clinical examinations practice portfolio review of live or recorded interventions co-therapy case conferences

Consultation			
The ability to provide expert g	guidance or professional assistance	e in response to a client's needs	or goals.
	Developm	ental Level	
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
A. Addressing Referral Que	estion	L	•
Essential Component: No expectation for pre- practicum level	Essential Component: knowledge of and ability to select appropriate and contextually sensitive means of assessment to answer referral questions	Essential Component: knowledge of and ability to select appropriate means of assessment/data gathering that answers consultation referral question	Essential Component: independently and confidently implement systematic strategy to assess and evaluate ongoing consultation and liaison effectiveness
	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to implement systematic approach to data collection in a consultative role 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates ability to gather information necessary to answer referral question able to clarify and refine referral question based on analysis/assessment of question 	 Behavior Anchor: develop and maintain program reviews of consultation effectiveness use results to enhance effectiveness in consultation and liaison activities
	Assessment Method(s):supervisor evaluationdoctoral comprehensive examinations	 Assessment Method(s) consultation case presentation Supervisor evaluation 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor ratings portfolios feedback from systems where consultations provided

			 I/O strategies for case reviews
B. Role Knowledge			
	Essential Component: knowledge of the consultant's role and its unique features as distinguished from other professional roles (such as therapist, supervisor, teacher).	Essential Component: ability to determine situations that require different role functions and shift roles accordingly	Essential Component: independently and confidently adapt consultation approaches to unique context and setting
	 Behavioral Anchor: articulating common and distinctive roles of consultant ability to compare and contrast consultation, clinical and supervision roles 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to recognize situations in which consultation is appropriate demonstrate capability to shift functions and behavior to meet referral needs 	 Behavioral Anchor: systematically incorporates feedback from evaluations of consultation interventions and adjust behavior accordingly
	 Assessment Method(s): doctoral comprehensive examinations consultation supervisor or instructor Case presentation 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluation consultee feedback case presentation 	 Assessment Method(s): outcome assessment of consultation activities supervisor feedback
C. Knowledge		1	
	Essential Component: knowledge of the literature relevant to the system, consultee or setting	Essential Component: ability to apply literature to provide effective consultative services in most routine and some complex cases	Essential Component: independently and confidently articulate and implement consultation and liaison strategies informed by scientific

			foundations, theoretical understanding and professional experience
	 Behavioral Anchor: successful completion of consultation coursework readings from consultation experience identifies components of consultation report 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to prepare useful consultation reports and communicate recommendations in a clear and precise manner to all appropriate parties given the context of service provision 	 Behavioral Anchor: provide rationale based in scientific principles and theoretical understanding and experience for consultation interventions
	Assessment Method(s): • supervisory and instructor evaluation	Assessment Method(s): • case presentation • consultee evaluations • supervisor evaluations	Assessment Method(s): • supervisor ratings feedback from systems where consultations were provided • reviews
D. Integration			
			Essential Component: Independently and effectively integrate consultation competence with all foundational and functional competencies
			 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate that consultation incorporates all foundational competencies integrate an understanding of consultation when

	 performing all functional competencies take responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to consultation
	 Assessment Methods(s): standardized patients and objective structure clinical examinations practice portfolio review of live or recorded interventions co-therapy case conferences

Research/evaluation The generation of research that contributes to the professional knowledge base and/or evaluates the effectiveness of various professional activities

Developmental Level			
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
A. Scientific Approach to Kn	owledge Generation		
Essential Component: basic scientific mindedness	Essential Component: development of skills and habits in seeking, applying, and evaluating theoretical and research knowledge relevant to the practice of psychology.	Essential Component: generation of knowledge	Essential Component: independently and confidently integrate research and evaluation competence with all foundational and functional competencies
 Behavioral Anchor: understanding of research contributions to the professional knowledge base understanding that psychologists evaluate the effectiveness of their professional activities openness to subjecting one's work to the scrutiny of peers and faculty. 	 Behavioral Anchor: successful completion of comprehensive doctoral examinations successful proposal of thesis, or dissertation research and scholarship activity, which may include presentations at conferences; participation in research teams; submission of manuscripts for publication being a critical consumer of research 	 Behavioral Anchor engages in systematic efforts to increase the knowledge base of psychology through implementing research uses methods appropriate to the research question, setting and/or community 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrate that science and evaluation incorporates all foundational competencies integrate an understanding of science and evaluation when performing all functional competencies take responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to science and evaluation

 Assessment Method(s): performance and behavior in course(s) or evaluation milestones faculty, peer, and self judgments (there should be a consideration given to consensual validation) 	Assessment Method(s): • comprehensive doctoral examinations • faculty evaluating above	Assessment Method(s): • portfolio	Assessment Methods(s): • review of work products by supervisor, employer, consumers, peers, colleagues, and the public
B. Application of Scientific M	lethod to Practice		
	Essential Component: apply scientific methods to evaluating own practice	Essential Component: evaluation of outcomes	Essential Component: independently, confidently, and systematically evaluate efficacy and impact of all of one's professional activities
	 Behavioral Anchor: discussion of evidence based practices compile and analyze data on own clients (outcome 	 Behavioral Anchor: evaluates the progress of their activities and uses this information to improve their effectiveness. 	 Behavioral Anchor: use current assessment methods to evaluate own performance review and analyze

measurement)participates in program evaluation		 information gathered to modify and enhance practice perform evaluations and scholarly activities in accord with current standards
Assessment Method(s):supervisory and faculty evaluation	Assessment Method(s): supervisor evaluation 	 Assessment Method(s): review of products by supervisor or employer or the public

Supervision-teaching - Supervision and training of the professional knowledge base and/or evaluates the effectiveness of various professional

Developmental Level			
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
I. Supervision	•	·	· · · ·
A. Knowledge			
Essential Component: basic knowledge of expectations for supervision	Essential Component: knowledge of purpose, roles and goals of supervision.	Essential Component: understands complexity of the supervisor role including ethical, legal, and contextual issues	Essential Component: understands complexity of supervision of muliti- dimensional cases and in multiple settings (research, etc.)
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates basic knowledge of supervision students know their roles and responsibilities in the process students are able to engage in goal setting with respect to practicum 	 Behavioral Anchor: successful completion of supervision coursework successful completion of practicum placements supervision successful completion of doctoral comprehensive examinations 	 Behavioral Anchor: able to articulate a philosophy or model of supervision and reflect on how this model is applied in practice 	 Behavior Anchor: able to articulate integrated models of supervision and relevant research
 Assessment Method(s): faculty, peer, and self judgments (there should be a consideration given to consensual validation) performance in courses and evaluation milestones performance in practicum 	 Assessment Method(s): completion of supervision coursework assessments from practicum supervisors about participant role in supervision process comprehensive doctoral 	 Assessment Method(s) professional and peer feedback in clinical consultation groups. 	Assessment Method(s):supervisory feedbacksupervisory portfolio

orientation and policies • formal self-appraisal of practicum readiness skills (e.g., completion of checklist such as Williams- Nickelson Skills Assessment in Succeeding in Practicum: An APAGS Resource Guide	examinations or other summative evaluation strategies		
B. Skills Development			
	Essential Component: knowledge of the supervision literature and how clinicians develop to be skilled professionals	Essential Component: engages in professional reflection about one's clinical relationships with supervisees, as well as supervisees relationships with their clients	Essential Component: engages in ongoing efforts to refine and continuously develop as a supervisor
	 Behavioral Anchor: successful completion of supervision coursework successful completion of doctoral comprehensive examinations 	 Behavioral Anchor: clear articulation of how to use supervisory relationships to leverage development of supervisees and their clients 	 Behavioral Anchor: Completes continuing education courses on supervision
	 Assessment Method(s): completion of coursework comprehensive doctoral examinations 	 Assessment Method(s): professional and peer feedback in clinical consultation groups. 	 Assessment Method(s) presentations on supervision to colleagues or staff professional writing on supervision

C. Awareness of factors affe Essential Component: basic knowledge of and sensitivity to issues related to individual and cultural differences (i.e., the APA definition) as they apply to the supervision process and relationships	cting quality Essential Component: knowledge about the impact of diversity on all professional settings and supervision participants including self as defined by APA policy; beginning knowledge of personal contribution to therapy and to supervision	Essential component:: understanding of other individuals and groups and intersection dimensions of diversity in the context of supervision practice, able to engage in reflection on the role of one's self on therapy and in supervision	 development of materials on supervision of supervision Essential Component: ability to integrate complex individual and cultural diversity issues into all aspects of supervisory practice
 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates basic knowledge of literature on individual and cultural differences and engages in respectful supervisory interactions that reflect that knowledge 	 Behavioral Anchor: discussion of multiple identities in case presentations and impact of these on treatment planning demonstrates knowledge of ICD literature and APA guidelines in supervision practice demonstrates awareness of role of oppression and privilege on supervision process completion of cases and supervision in practica with evidence of knowledge of relationships and contribution of beliefs and values 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates integration of all diversity and multiple identity aspects in conceptualization of all aspects of supervisor process with all participants (client(s), supervisee, supervisor) demonstrates adaptation of one's professional behavior in a culturally sensitive manner as appropriate to the needs of the supervision context and all parties in it articulates and uses diversity appropriate repertoire of skills and techniques in supervisory process 	 Behavioral Anchor: contributes to increasing individual and diversity understanding in work setting and in profession contributes to culture of respectful and curious approach towards continuing development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in individual and cultural diversity in all aspects of supervisory practice

 Assessment Method(s): performance in coursework congruence judgments made by faculty, peers, and self 	 Assessment Method(s): self assessment supervisor evaluation of inclusion of ICD in case conceptualization evaluation of case presentations on inclusion of ICD Identification of two personal factors which influence course of therapy/supervision case presentation with description of impact of personal factors and biases in diagnosis and treatment of a case 	 evidence of identification of impact of aspects of self in therapy and supervision Assessment Method(s) case presentation with description of diversity, biases, and personal factors influential in diagnosis and treatment of a particular case and in supervision of that case supervisory case presentations supervisee targeted feedback 	 Assessment Method: analysis of contributions to literature, staff development, structure of supervision process portfolio
---	---	---	---

Essential Component:	Essential Component:	Essential Component:
observation of and participation in supervisory process (e.g., peer supervision)	able to provide supervision independently to others in routine cases	demonstrate knowledge and skills to effectively supervise and train individuals in psychology or other professions; commitment to fostering the development of more junior colleagues
 Behavioral Anchor: successful completion of supervision coursework successful completion of doctoral comprehensive examinations 	 Behavioral Anchor: evidence of providing supervision to less advanced students, peers or other service providers in typical cases appropriate to the service setting. 	 Behavioral Anchor: provide support, advice and education to more junior colleagues demonstrate supervised experience in providing supervision or training to psychology students and individuals from other disciplines with less training and/or experience integrate current theory and research into supervision and teaching activities provide supervision regarding complex professional practice
Assessment Method(s):completion of courseworkcomprehensive doctoral examinations	 Assessment Method(s) supervisory feedback from supervisee review of video or audio of supervision session 	 Assessment Method(s): supervisee or student feedback supervisor evaluation live supervision

			 review of live or recorded supervisory-teaching functioning course evaluations feedback on occurrence
E. Ethical and Legal Issues			
E. Ethical and Legal Issues Essential Component: knowledge of principles of ethical practice and basic skills in supervisory ethical decision making, knowledge of legal and regulatory issues in supervision	Essential Component: knowledge of and compliance with ethical/professional codes, standards and guidelines; institutional policies; laws, statutes, rules, regulations, and case law relevant to the practice of psychology and its supervision	Essential Component: command and application of relevant ethical, legal, and professional standards and guidelines	Essential Component: independently and proactively provides ethical and legal consultation and supervision

 Behavioral Anchor: demonstration of understanding of this knowledge (e.g., APA 2002 ethical principles; one ethical decision making model 	 Behavioral Anchor: supervisee behaves ethically recognition of ethical issues, dilemmas, in clinical practice brings identified ethical and legal issues to supervisor's attention 	 Behavioral Anchor: spontaneously and reliably identifies complex ethical and legal issues in supervision, and analyzes and proactively addresses them awareness of potential conflicts in complex ethical and legal issues that arise in supervision 	 Behavioral Anchor: addresses conflicting legal and ethical dilemmas in supervision when principles conflict provides leadership role in knowledge and identification of current legal and ethical dilemmas in supervisory practice
 Assessment Method(s): performance in pre- practicum coursework practicum readiness interview 	 Assessment Method(s) supervisor report of supervisee knowledge and recognition of ethical and legal issues in clinical material direct observation of supervisee therapy, case conferences, and behavior in supervision 	Assessment Method(s):evaluation of supervisor(s)self-evaluation	Assessment Method(s): • 360 degree feedback
E. Integration		I	
			Essential Component: independently and confidently integrate supervision and teaching competence with all foundational and functional competencies Behavioral Anchor: • demonstrate that supervision-teaching incorporates all foundational

	 competencies, integrate an understanding of supervision-teaching when performing all functional competencies take responsibility for continuing professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation supervision and teaching
	 Assessment Methods(s): review of supervision and teaching by all relevant parties

A. Skills			
Essential Component: knowledge of theories of learning and how they impact teaching	Essential Component: knowledge of didactic learning strategies and how to accommodate developmental and individual differences	Essential Component: evaluation of effectiveness of learning/teaching strategies addressing key skill sets	Essential Component: develops coherent teaching plan to ensure match to learning outcomes and to longer term curricular objectives
 Behavioral Anchor demonstrates knowledge of one theory of learning demonstrates knowledge of how that theory is applied in teaching 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates one learning strategy demonstrates clear communication skills demonstrates accommodation to individual and developmental differences 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates strategy to evaluate teaching effectiveness of targeted skill sets articulation of concepts to be taught and research/empirical support demonstrates evaluation strategy to assess learning objectives met 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates development of teaching plan integrating consideration of outcomes and longer term objectives provides critical analysis of plan and results identifies areas needing improvement enhances creative approaches through consultation and learning
Assessment Method(s):classroom test, course completion	 Assessment Method(s): observation and rating of peer teaching session observation and rating of didactic teaching class 	 Assessment Method(s): report of successful completion of teaching task observation and rating of teaching effectiveness review of evaluation strategy and outcomes 	 Assessment Method(s): evaluation of teaching plan evaluation of outcomes of student learning/ teaching effectiveness evaluation of innovative practices

Management-administration

Managing the direct delivery of services (DDS) and/or the administration of organizations, programs, or agencies (OPA).

Developmental Level			
Readiness for Practicum	Readiness for Internship	Readiness for Entry to Practice	Readiness for Advanced Practice and Specialization
A. Leadership			· · · ·
Essential Component: not essential for pre- practicum	Essential Component: basic understanding of leadership and management.	Essential Component: demonstrate leadership skills and abilities, business knowledge, management and supervisory skills needed to develop system	Essential Component: demonstrates ability to manage/lead systems that deliver direct services and ability to develop performance evaluation systems (including benchmarks, grievance procedures, and other attributes)
	 Behavioral Anchor: discusses with supervisor understands QA procedures in direct delivery of services basic management of direct services, QA procedures observes and learns from behavior of psychologists in management positions identifying responsibilities, challenges, and 	 Behavioral Anchor: ability to develop system for evaluating supervisees/staff/employe es. communicates appropriately to parties at all levels in the system capable of providing direction to others within system(s) identifies opportunities for quality improvement of 	 Behavioral Anchor: identifies essential roles and responsibilities within the system models behavior of psychologists in management positions identifying responsibilities, challenges, and processes of management identifies a management and administrative mentor and has a plan for routine

	processes of management	DDS or OPA • identifies resources needed to develop a business plan	 access ability to assume responsibility for OPA tasks communicates effectively between OPA and delivery system oversees OPA task completion
	 Assessment Method(s): supervisory/faculty written quarterly evaluation pass HIPAA CE module 	 Assessment Method(s) supervisory/faculty written quarterly evaluation develops necessary materials to comply with HIPAA in DDS and/or OPA 	 Assessment Method(s): performance evaluations of people who participate in the system (360 degree) expert evaluation from mentor
B. Management			
	Essential Component: knowledge of and ability to effectively function within professional settings and organizations, including compliance with policies and procedures.	Essential Component: ability to manage direct delivery of professional services	Essential Component: demonstrate ability to achieve operational and business objectives of OPA
	Behavioral Anchor:articulates approved organizational policies and procedures	 Behavioral Anchor independently and regularly manages and evaluates own DDS responds promptly to organizational demands participates in the 	 Behavioral Anchor: applies organizational theory to achieve objectives administers and manages organizations, programs, agencies, and DDS applies technological,

		development of policies	organizational, and management knowledge to the improvement of systems		
	Assessment Method(s): • supervisory/faculty written quarterly evaluation	 Assessment Method(s) accurately documents DDS independent evaluation of DDS by credentialing or review system (state, federal, private certification agency) 	 Assessment Method(s): systematic performance evaluations of people who participate in the system, tied to benchmarks 		
C. Effective Program Develo	C. Effective Program Development				
	Essential Component: recognition of one's role in creating policy, participation in system change, and management structure.	Essential Component: develops policy and procedures manual for OPA	Essential Component: integrates foundational and functional competencies into management and administrative competence		
	 Behavioral Anchor: discusses with supervisor and agency representative 	 Behavioral Anchor: capable of providing others with face to face and written direction 	 Behavioral Anchor: demonstrates how effective management-administration incorporates/builds upon foundational and functional competencies continues professional development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in relation to management and administration 		

Assessment Method(s): • supervisory written quarterly evaluation	 Assessment Method(s): record of periodic reports of meetings with supervisees, etc. 	 Assessment Method(s): at least yearly review of work products by supervisor, employer, consumers, peers, colleagues, and the public
--	--	--

References

- American Psychological Association (2006). *Report of the APA task force on the assessment of competence in professional psychology*. Washington D.C.: Author.
- American Psychological Association (2005). Report of the Board of Directors Work Group on the Recommendations of the Commission on Education and Training Leading to Licensure in Psychology. Washington, D.C.: Author
 American Psychological Association (2001). Report of the Commission on Education and Training Leading to Licensure in Psychology. Washington, D.C.: Author
- Association of American Medical Colleges (1998, February). *Learning objectives for medical student education. Guidelines for medical schools*. Washington D.C.: Author.
- Committee on Accreditation (1996). *Guidelines and principles for accreditation of programs in professional psychology*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Epstein, R. M., & Hundert, E. M. (2002). Defining and assessing professional competence. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *287*, 226-235.
- Hatcher, R.L. & Lassiter, K.D. (2006). Initial Training in Professional Psychology: The Practicum Competencies Outline. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Kaslow, N. J. (2004). Competencies in professional psychology. American Psychologist, 59, 774-781.

- Kaslow, N.J., Borden, K.A., Collins, F.L., Jr., Forrest, L., Illfelder-Kaye, J., Nelson, P. & Rallo, J.S. (2004).
 - Competencies Conference: Future Directions in Education and Credentialing in Professional Psychology. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60, 699-712.
- Peterson, R.L., Peterson, D.R., Abrams, J.C. & Stricker, G. (1997). The National Council of Schools and Programs of Professional Psychology education model. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 28, 373-386.
- Roberts, M. C., Borden, K. A., & Christiansen, M. D., Lopez, S. J. (2005). Fostering a culture shift: Assessment of competence in the education and careers of professional psychologists. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 36*, 355-361.
- Rodolfa, E. R., Bent, R. J., Eisman, E., Nelson, P. D., Rehm, L., & Ritchie, P. (2005). A cube model for competency development: Implications for psychology educators and regulators. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 36*, 347-354.

Appendix A Assessment of Competency Benchmarks Work Group Members

Jeffrey M. Baker, Ph.D.	Marty Heesacker, Ph.D.	Philinda Smith Hutchings, Ph.D.*
Stephen H. Behnke, Ph.D.	Nadine J. Kaslow, Ph.D. *	I. Leon Smith, Ph.D.
Linda F. Campbell, Ph.D.	Kim Dudley Lassiter, Ph.D.	Barbara A. Van Horne, MBA, Ph.D.
Frank L. Collins, Jr, Ph.D.*	Michael B. Madson, Ph.D.*	Kristi Sands Van Sickle, Psy.D.
Madonna G. Constantine, Ph.D.	Steve McCutcheon, Ph.D.*	Torrey Wilson, Ph.D.*
Raymond E. Crossman, Ph.D.*	Mary "Lee" Nelson, Ph.D.	Antonette Zeiss, Ph.D.
Stephen T. DeMers, Ed.D.*	Paul Nelson, Ph.D.	
Nancy S. Elman, Ph.D.	Ralph (Ted) Packard, Ph.D.	APA STAFF
Carol A. Falender, Ph.D.	Roger L. Peterson, Ph.D.	Catherine Grus, Ph.D.
Linda Mae Forrest, Ph.D.	Celiane Rey-Casserly, Ph.D.	Joan Freund
Nadya Fouad, Ph.D.	Emil R. Rodolfa, Ph.D.	Renee Lyles
(Work Group Chair)*	Ronald H. Rozensky, Ph.D.	
Judy Hall, Ph.D.*	Barry Schreier, Ph.D.	*Planning Group Member
Robert R. Hatcher, Ph.D.*	Dairy Comolol, 1 m.D.	